

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8 AT SEATTLE

9 JERMAINE D. DOSS,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., and  
13 JOHNSON & JOHNSON CORPORATION,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-1314 RSM

MINUTE ORDER

15 The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
16 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

17 On June 3, 2019, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss, noting it for the Court's  
18 consideration on June 28, 2019. Dkt. #37. On June 13, 2019, Plaintiff filed a Motion for an  
19 Extension of Time to File Response to Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. #38. Plaintiff indicates that he  
20 "has been researching the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and understands now that he must  
21 file a comprehensive response with a memorandum of law" in response to Defendants' "plethora  
22 of legal defenses."<sup>1</sup> *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff requests, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b),  
23  
24

25 <sup>1</sup> The Court refers Plaintiff to Local Civil Rule 7 which provides that "argument in support . . .  
26 shall not be made in a separate document but shall be submitted as part of the [briefing] itself."  
LCR 7(b)(1). Plaintiff is expected to be familiar with this Court's Local Civil Rules. A copy  
can be accessed electronically at: <https://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/local-rules-and-orders>

1 an extension of time such that his response is due no sooner than July 5, 2019. *Id.* Defendants  
2 have responded indicating that they do not object to the request,<sup>2</sup> but request that the motion be  
3 renoted for consideration on Friday July 12, 2019 (making their reply due on that date).

4 Accordingly, the Court finds that an extension is warranted and ORDERS that:

- 5 1. Plaintiff's Motion for an Extension of Time to File Response to Motion to Dismiss (Dkt.  
6 #38) is GRANTED.
- 7 2. Johnson & Johnson and Janssen Research and Development, LLC's Motion to Dismiss  
8 (Dkt. #37) is RENOTED for consideration on July 12, 2019. As a result, and pursuant to  
9 Local Civil Rule 7(d)(3), Plaintiff's response is due no later than July 8, 2019,<sup>3</sup> and  
10 Defendants' reply is due no later than July 12, 2019.
- 11 3. The Clerk shall mail a copy of this Minute Order to Plaintiff's last known address.

12 Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June 2019.

13  
14 WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk

15 By: /s/ Paula McNabb  
16 Deputy Clerk  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

---

22 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff is advised that contact with opposing counsel to see if a motion will be opposed can  
23 save time and resources through the presentation of stipulated motions or voluntary renoting.  
24 LCR 1(c)(7) ("Stipulated Motion' is a stipulation (agreement) between or among the parties  
25 presented to the court with a proposed order."); LCR 7(d)(1) ("moving party may renote its own  
26 pending motion itself by promptly filing a document titled Notice of Motion Renoted").  
Stipulated motions may be noted for consideration on the day they are filed. LCR 7(d)(1).

<sup>3</sup> However, Plaintiff's response must be mailed not later than July 5, 2019, if he intends to serve by mail. LCR 7(d)(3).